


Butte County

<u>Case Number</u> CE18-0649

Details: Site APN: 071-270-029 Opened: 6/13/2018 | Closed: Site Address: 0 CRYSTAL RANCH RD FEATHER FALLS, CA 95966 Description: LARGE MARIJUANA GROW, NO LEGAL RESIDENCE Type: MARIJUANA Location: 39° 33.948'N 121° 22.132'W Officer: DEBBIE LAUGENOUR Last Action: 4/23/2019 Subtype: Status: LIEN PROCESS Fllw Up:

ADDITIONAL SITES

LINKED CASES



	\$0.00	\$6,078.27	R SVCS:	Total Paid for D4 MM COSTS CHRG FOR SVCS:	Total Paid
	\$0.00	\$6,078.27	0	0010-4404000-462005- 4401	D4 MM COSTS CHRG FOR SVCS
	\$0.00	\$66,000.00	L FINES:	Total Paid for D4 MM ADMIN PENALTIES LCL FINES:	Total Paid for D4
	\$0.00	\$66,000.00	0	0010-4404000-431104- 4401	D4 MM ADMIN PENALTIES LCL FINES
PAID DATE RECEIPT# CHECK# METHOD PAID BY BY	PAID	AMOUNT	Qγ	ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION
	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	EN.			
	VIOIATIONS				
	SAN JOSE, CA	1874 N CAPITOL AVE #109 SAN JOSE, CA 95132	1874 N (	LE ANHTUAN ETAL	OWNER
9162236147	klin, CA 95765	5701 Lonetree Blvd. Rocklin, CA 95765	5701 Lc	Samuel D. Berns, Esq.	ATTORNEY
PHONE		ADDRESS		NAME	NAMETYPE
	CONTACTS				

GH::-0649 Case Number

Butte County

NEGETCE CO. C. C.				EWAIL
RECEIVED LETTER OF REP FROM ATTORNEY BEKINS. SCANNED AND ATTACHED. UPDATED TRAKIT CONTACT INFO TO	10/3/2018	10/3/2018	DERRIE I AUGENOUR	
This email will confirm that IVIT. Gallart is available to over our Marijuana hearing.  over our Marijuana hearing.  please see the case details below, and be sure to let me know if you have any questions or concerns.  Date: 11/1/18  Time: 9:00 a.m.  Location: Oroville Superior Court CE18-0649  APN: 071-270-029  Address: Feather Falls  Owners: Feather Falls  Le, John Etal and LE,  Anhtuan Etal  Matt Fuchs	9/10/2018	9/10/2018	DEBBIE LAUGENOUR	EMAIL
HEARING OFFICER CONFIRMATION EMAL  Good Afternoon:				

**Butte County** 

<u>Case Number</u> CE18-0649

EMAIL DEBBIE LAUGENOUR 10/3/2018 10/3/2018 Thanks for sending the letters of representation. Your name documents from this date forward. There is no need to send RESPONSE TO ATTORNEY ADVISING ORIGINAL IS NOT has been added to the cases and you will receive all Sent: Wednesday, October 3, 2018 8:20 AM To: 'Sam Berns' <sam@bernslegal.com> Debbie Laugenour, Office Specialists, Sr. Subject: RE: Letter of Representation From: Laugenour, Debbie Good Morning an original. NEEDED. Regards



Butte County

<u>Case Number</u> CE18-0649



Butte County

### Case Number CE18-0649

	2) 2) 2020	5/5/2019	DEBBIE LAUGENOUR	LIEN PROCESS
LIEN DOC PREP	2/5/2019	2/1/2010	WAITFOCHS	HEARING NOTICE SENT
Hearing notice mailed.	9/7/2018	9/7/2018	MATT FIICHS	TENTE SOUTH SENT
Hearing with Fuchs and Wilson	11/1/2018	11/1/2018	SHAWN WILLIAMS	HEARING DATE
Hearing with Williams and Wilson.	11/1/2018	11/1/2018	MATT FUCHS	HEARING DATE
PROPERTY OWNER ANHTUAN LE CALLED THE ENFORCEMENT OFFICE, STATING THAT HE RECEIVED THE PROPOSED LIEN THAT WAS POSTED ON HIS PROPERTY. HE THEN SAID THAT HE DOES NOT OWN THIS PROPERTY AND THAT IT IS IN FORCLOSURE, OR THE ORIGINAL OWNER TOOK BACK THE PROPERTY. I HAD TOLD HIM THAT A HEARING WAS ALREADY HELD AND THE ONLY OPTIONS ARE TO DO A SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND IF NOT THE PROPERTY WILL BE LIENED. WE CAN NOT NEGOTIATE	3/22/2019	3/22/2019	WILLOW EMBERLAND	GENERAL NOTE
PREPAIRED SETTLEMENTS, BOTH PAID IN FULL AND PAYMENTS	12/27/2018	12/27/2018	WILLOW EMBERLAND	GENERAL NOTE
On 10/22/2018, I assisted the CEO in charge of this case by sorting the helicopter inspection photos into individual files in the department server.	10/22/2018	10/22/2018	SHAWN WILLIAMS	GENERAL NOTE
SCANNED AND ATTACHED CLERK RECORDERS RECEIPT	4/23/2019	4/23/2019	KIM MCMILLAN	GENERAL NOTE
FINALIZED DEMAND. COPY TO MANAGER TO REVIEW. COPY TO CEO TO POST. COPY TO COUNTY COUNSEL TO MAIL. SCANNED AND ATTACHED. ADDED PENALTIES AND COST INTO TGRAKIT.	12/21/2018	12/21/2018	DEBBIE LAUGENOUR	GENERAL NOTE
RECEIVED COST FOR DEMAND. ADDED TO SPREADSHEET AND DEMAND CALCULATIONS. SCANNED AND ATTACHED.	11/29/2018	11/29/2018	DEBBIE LAUGENOUR	GENERAL NOTE
RECEIVED HEARING DECISION. ADDED PENALTIES TO SPREADSHEET AND TO DEMAND CALCJULATIONS. EMAILED COPY TO CEO AND MANAGER. REQUESTED COST FOR DEMAND FROM CC. ADDED VIOLATIONS TO DEMAND.	11/15/2018	11/15/2018	DEBBIE LAUGENOUR	GENERAL NOTE
CC ADVISED THAT THIS PARCEL OWNER HAS AN ATTORNEY. I WROTE ATTORNEY REQUESTING A LETTER OF REP. ADDED ATTORNEY IN CONTACTS.	10/3/2018	10/3/2018	DEBBIE LAUGENOUR	GENERAL NOTE

<u>Case Number</u> CE18-0649


Butte County

Travel time for compliance inspection.	11/1/2018	11/1/2018	MATT FUCHS	TRAVEL
Travel time for failed compliance inspection.	10/26/2018	10/26/2018	MATT FUCHS	TRAVEL
Travel time to post hearing notice.	9/7/2018	9/7/2018	MATT FUCHS	TRAVEL
Travel time for 72 hour posting.	8/16/2018	8/16/2018	MATT FUCHS	TRAVEL
Travel time for helicopter inspection.	7/31/2018	7/31/2018	MATT FUCHS	TRAVEL
Travel time for attempted initial inspection.	6/19/2018	6/19/2018	MATT FUCHS	TRAVEL
SCANNED AND ATTACHED NOTICE OF LIEN	4/16/2019	4/16/2019	WILLOW EMBERLAND	SCANNING
SCANNED AND ATTACHED PROPOSED LIEN & SPECIAL ASSESSMENT	4/2/2019	4/2/2019	WILLOW EMBERLAND	SCANNING
SCANNED AND ATTACHED HEARING OFFICER INVOICE	12/18/2018	12/18/2018	WILLOW EMBERLAND	SCANNING
SCANNED RETURNED MAIL 34(A) AND 34(C) ORDNANCE	9/18/2018	9/18/2018	WILLOW EMBERLAND	SCANNING
SCANNED RETURNED MAIL 34(A) AND 34(C) ORDNANCE ETAL	9/14/2018	9/14/2018	WILLOW EMBERLAND	SCANNING
SCANNED RETURNED MAIL 34(A) AND 34(C) ORDNANCE	9/14/2018	9/14/2018	WILLOW EMBERLAND	SCANNING
SCANNED RETURNED MAIL 72HR LE ANHTUAN	8/28/2018	8/28/2018	WILLOW EMBERLAND	SCANNING
SCANNED RETURNED MAIL 72HR	8/28/2018	8/28/2018	WILLOW EMBERLAND	SCANNING
SCANNED AND ATTACHED COST FOR DEMAND	11/29/2018	11/29/2018	DEBBIE LAUGENOUR	SCANNING
SCANNED AND ATTACHED COMPLAINT	6/13/2018	6/13/2018	DEBBIE LAUGENOUR	SCANNING
LARGE MARIJUANA GROW, NO PERMITTED HOME, GROWER RESIDENCE REQUIREMENTS NOT MET, AND NO PERMITTED WATER/SEWER	6/13/2018	6/13/2018	DEBBIE LAUGENOUR	RECEIVED COMPLAINT
Phone message from attorney Berns about abatement. Called him back and told him there was a fly over on 10/19/2018 and the marijuana was still on the property. He said he will email me with instructions about abatement after calling the grower.	10/24/2018	10/24/2018	MATT FUCHS	PHONE CALL
Phone call from Mike 66-208-8209 said his cousin let people grow on the property. He was told if property is not cleared by Thursday 9/7/2018 a hearing notice will be posted.	9/4/2018	9/4/2018	MATT FUCHS	PHONE CALL

Butte County

<u>Case Number</u> CE18-0649

	IMENTS	ATTACHMENTS				
			3/18/2019	3/18/2019	RJS	LIEN HEARING POSTED
safety.	2nd Officer		6/19/2018	6/19/2018	ТАТ	INITIAL SITE
Initial site inspection with Matt Fuchs for officer						
ARRIVAL, AS PREVIOUSLY ARRANGED BY PROPTENANT MIKE NGYUN. MET NGYUN'S COUSIN (AMA) ON PROP. CONDUCTED SITE INSPECTION WITH NGYUN'S PERMISSION. OBSERVED MMJ GROW AREA BUT ALL MMJ HAD BEEN ABATED.  WILL MAKE INACTIVE	MMJ ABATED		6/29/2018	6/29/2018	TAT .	INITIAL SITE
W/TW- PROP ACCESS GATES OPEN UPON OUR						INSPECTION
W/TT FOR OFFICER SAFETY.	2ND OFFICER		6/29/2018	6/29/2018	TRW	INITIAL SITE
safety. Located locked gate, no response to horn, left card on gate to parcel APN 071-270-028 which is owned by same owner Etal Le Anhtuan and case number CE18-0566 which Tim Torres has the case. The road to APN of my case cuts into this property, where my card was hung. Will put on fly list if not contacted.	Attempted contact		6/19/2018	6/19/2018	NWF	INITIAL SITE
Initial site inspection with Tim Torres for officer	PHOIOGRAPHER	COMPLETED	10/19/2018	10/19/2018	TAT	HELICOPTER INSPECTION
PHOTOGRAPHER					000	INSPECTION
TRANSPORT OF MMJ	NAVIGATOR	COMPLETED	10/19/2018	10/19/2018	SDW	HELICOPTER
34A AND 34 C VIOLATIONS OBSERVED. PICS TAKEN.	2nd Officer	COMPLETED	7/31/2018	7/31/2018	TAT	HELICOPTER INSPECTION
Shawn Williams (NAV) AND Tim Torres (PICS). BCC				., ., ., .,	3D 88	INSPECTION
Shawn Williams (NAV) AND Tim Torres (PICS). BCC 34A AND 34 C VIOLATIONS OBSERVED. PICS TAKEN.	Marijuana observed	COMPLETED	7/31/2018	7/31/2018	SDW	HELICOPTER



### **YLLEST:**

Jennifier Wakefield, Secretary Planning Commission County of Butte, State of California

DCE-5 LOWW NO

### Butte County Department of Development Services

TIM SNELLINGS, DIRECTOR | PETE CALARCO, ASSISTANT DIRECTOR 7 County Center Drive Oroville, CA 95965 530.538.7601 Telephone 530.538.7785 Facsimile www.buttecounty.net/dds

CIVIDE COUNTY

Version 7 03/24/2017

A CVZE

RESTRICTIONS ON CANNABIS CULTIVATION COMPLAINT FORM

	-
	_
case describe the violation(s) in the space provided below:	
For environmental complaints contact Environmental Health at 530.538.7281 or \$60.000 ft. Oroville, CA 95965	
Офиск:	_
Illegal use, disposal and/or storage of chemicals	]
yelem No Will pount ant file	3
No permitted permanent water supply or no municipal sewer system/permitted sewage disposal	ľ
Grower residency requirements	ľ
Does not meet Recommendation Requirements (Medical Cannabis)	]
Building violations (no permit, unpermitted electrical, no ventilation, etc.)	]
ence, unsecure access, etc.)	Ì
Fencing (no fence on parcel less than 5 acres, unapproved materials, plants are taller than	]
weiv oilduq ni ers aralq eldannaO	]
Setback violation (please specify below in detail)	]
Outdoor grow on parcel 5.0 acres or less (Non-Medical Cannabis Cultivation)	]
Outdoor grow on a parcel 0.5 acre or less (Medical Cannabis)	]
Parcel does not have a legal residence	Ĺ
Cultivation of more than six plants per parcel (Non-Medical Cannabis Cultivation)	
Cultivation Area Violation (over max sq. ftratio) (Medical Cannabis Cultivation)	
Check violation(s) that apply AND include written remarks below.	j
Sily: It path of Lails	)
Meged Violation Address: Cy Stal Bankh 1900d	1
Property Owner: Le ANLtuck Otch Parcel: OTI-270-029	
81 (C1 ) B := 100	İ

110-61-



### 1991l Main Street Mid Valley Title & Escrow Company

Chico, CA 95928

Ofc: 0401 (1222)

PR: 06243

Date: 8107/11/60 1222 - 4017804 toM soloval

Roger Button Title Officer: Our File No.: 9175872-1040

Eserow Officer:

INVOICE TOTAL

*WSK2354* Customer ID:

Liability Amounts

Crystal Ranch Road, Feather Falls, CA

Buyers:

Property: BE:

Brad Stephens

Your Ref.:

:noinomA

PEU-OLE- 170 PHUO-8173

Description of Charge

Sellers:

:óŢ

Oroville, CA 95965 7 County Center Drive

Butte County Code Enforcement

Invoice

Phone: (530)893-5644/Fax: (866)739-4927

1944 8810:000963:0004944 CLTA Lot Book Guarantee

Comments:

Thank you for your business!

To assure proper credit, please send a copy of this Invoice and Payment to:

Attention: Accounts Receivable Department

00'05\$

00.02\$

Invoice Amount

Pete Calarco, Assistant Director Tim Snellings, Director

### Department of Development Services



buttecounty.net/dds

F: 530,538,7785 Z075,S22,052:T Oroville, California 95965 7 County Center Drive DEAETOSWENT SERVICES

December 21, 2018

FEATHER FALLS, CA 95966 0 CRYSTAL RANCH RD 10HN LE

2AN JOSE, CA 95132 1814 N CVbILOF VAE #109 LE ANHTUAN ETAL FEATHER FALLS, CA 95966 0 CKYSTAL RANCH RD LE ANHTUAN ETAL

SAN JOSE, CA 95132 1814 N CVbILOF VAE #109 10HN FE

Rocklin, CA 95765 Suite 123 5701 Lonetree Blvd. Samuel D. Berns, Esq.

### DEMVID FOR PAYMENT OF UUISANCE ABATEMENT COST AND PENALTIES

### To the Property Owners of 0 CRYSTAL RANCH RD, FEATHER FALLS, CA, APN 071-270-029:

Administrative Penalties. 071-270-029, CE18-0649, and hereby demands payment of its Abatement costs, Administrative costs and incurred costs associated with a public nuisance on property located at 0 CRYSTAL RANCH RD, CA, APN You are hereby notified that the County of Butte, pursuant to Butte County Code Chapters 34A and 34C, has

November 14, 2018. paying the sum of \$72,078.27 to the County of Butte pursuant to the Hearing Officer's decision dated on the parcel. As a result, and pursuant to Butte County Code Chapter 34A & 34C, you are responsible for parcels less than 5 acres and 34C-3(m) Parcel does not have a legal residence, no cultivation may take place residence, no cultivation can take place on the parcel, 34C-4(a)(2) Cultivation may only take place indoors on specifically: 34A-4(b)(2) Cultivation area is greater than allowed and 34A-3(n) Parcel does not have a legal Restriction of Cultivation of Medical Marijuana & 34C Restriction of Cultivation of Non-Medical Marijuana The public nuisance on the above property consisted of violations within Butte County Code Chapters 34A

A breakdown of the costs incurred are as follows:

72,870,27	\$ :eud IstoT
91.91	\$ Postage
00.68	\$ Recording & Title Fees
98.311	\$ Aerial Inspections
00.878,1	\$ Hearing Officer
4,281.25	\$ Staff Costs
00'000'99	\$ Administrative Penalties

### Fuchs, Matt

Thursday, October 25, 2018 8:51 AM sam@bernslegal.com

Re: Ce 18.649 Fuchs, Matt 2nplect: :oT

:juəs

From:

Yes gate will be open, sorry for confusion I thought I replied yesterday

Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 25, 2018, at 8:48 AM, Fuchs, Matt < MFuchs@buttecounty.net> wrote:

I can do the Crystal Ranch Rd abatement inspection today... If that ok.

Office: 530,552,3702 Fax: 530,538,7785 Cell: 530.693.7631 (Direct) 7 County Center Drive, Oroville, CA 95965 Department of Development Services Matt Fuchs, Code Enforcement Officer

Subject: Re: Ce 18.649 To: Fuchs, Matt < MFuchs@buttecounty.net> Sent: Wednesday, October 24, 2018 12:54 PM From: Sam Berns <sam@bernslegal.com>

On Mon, Oct 22, 2018 at 3:34 PM <sam@bernslegal.com> wrote: tomorrow afternoon. Please confirm receipt. Thanks. I have confirmed with client that gate will be open and property available for inspection

Hi Matt

email or call 916.226.1477 for more details. Thanks incomplete email, I'm out of the office today but wanted to get something to you. Feel free to oct 19. Please let me know if you would like to set a compliance check. Apologies for a bit of This is another property I represent, I received photo confirmation of compliance as of Friday

Attorney at law Samuel D Berns

Sent from my iPhone

7741,322,319 Rocklin, CA 95765 5701 Lonetree Boulevard, Ste. 123 Law Office of Samuel D. Berns, Inc. Attorney At Law Samuel D. Berns

### Butte County Department of Development Services

### Nuisance Abatement Hearing

Re: APM 071-270-029

Street Address: 0 Crystal Ranch Road, Feather Falls, CA

Hearing Date: Thursday, November 1, 2018

### I. Summary of Decision

- The cultivation of medical marijuana on the subject property violates Chapter 34A-4(b)(3) of the Butte County Code and constitutes a public nuisance;
- The fact of property ownership was established by the County;
- The above conditions creating a public nuisance shall be abated by the property owner within a reasonable time, not to exceed 10 days from the date of mailing of this decision pursuant to Chapter 34A-13(g)(l); and
- Should the property owner be unwilling or unable to take steps to abate the conditions creating the nuisance on the subject property by the 10-day deadline, noted above, and Buttle County deems it necessary to take steps to abate the nuisance, the County may recover the costs of abatement, administrative costs incurred regarding this hearing, as well as any Administrative penalties, as set forth herein, pursuant to Chapter 34A-18(p)(1) & 34A-16(b).

### II. Appearances & Conduct of Hearing

The Nuisance Abatement Hearing in the above-referenced matter was held on November 1, 2018 at 7 County Center Drive, Oroville, California 95965. Appearances were as follows:

- Jackson Glick, Esq. Hearing Officer
- Roger S. Wilson, Esq. Deputy Butte County Counsel
- Matthew Fuchs Butte County Code Enforcement Officer
- Shawn Williams Butte County Code Enforcement Officer
- Samuel D. Berns, Esq. Counsel for Anhtuan and John Le, Owners

Mr. Wilson proceeded with the county's presentation of evidence beginning with sworn testimony from Mr. Fuchs, who was followed by Mr. Williams with questions transitioning between those two witnesses as the county presented evidence in chronological order. The hearing officer had a few brief questions/clarifications for the witnesses, then the county closed their presentation of evidence. Mr. Berns had a brief cross-examination of each witness, and their presentation of evidence.

then presented his case which included some sworn testimony by Mr. Berns and offered a closing photographs and a declaration by Anhtuan Le as evidence. Mr. Wilson offered a closing summary of the evidence the County presented and Mr. Berns closed with rebuttal argument. The hearing lasted about 120 minutes and was tape-recorded.

### Ill. Findings of Fact

### Property Ownership & Notice.

The property in question is located at 0 Crystal Ranch Rd., Feather Falls, CA (hereinafter referenced as "the property"). The property is in the unincorporated area of Butte County. The APN is 071-270-029. The size of the parcel is 4.85 acres. The owners of record are proper service of the 72-hour Notice to Abate as well as the Notice of Nuisance Abatement Pearing pursuant to Chapter 35A-13 (a) & (b). Ownership of the property, adequacy of notice both as to the 72-hour Notice to Abate, as well as the Notice of Nuisance Abatement Hearing, were not challenged by Mr. Berns. Accordingly, these issues are deemed established by Butte County and do not warrant further discussion.

### .S. <u>Investigation</u>.

Mr. Fuchs was sworn as a witness and began by testifying as to his knowledge and experience in identifying the cultivation of marijuana. Though Mr. Fuchs has only been working for code enforcement since May of 2018, he has significant experience in law enforcement through his 15 years as a probation officer and 5-6 years as a narcotics task force member. Mr. Fuchs testified that he has received significant prior training in the identification of marijuana Fuchs testified that he has received significant prior training in the identification of marijuana in his current role. Mr. Berns raised questions during cross examination as to both Mr. Fuchs' and Mr. Williams ability to identify different strands of marijuana and the county submitted a separate brief on this issue to which Mr. Berns did not respond. Mr. Wilson's Brief on this issue to which that the county is not required to identify which strain of marijuana is present as part of their investigation. Therefore Mr. Fuchs' qualifications to identify marijuana plants as that ferm is defined in Section 11018 of the California Health and Safety Code and cultivation of the same was therefore established.

After the testimony regarding his qualifications, Mr. Fuchs proceeded with a chronology of investigation of the property. On or about June 13, 2018 code enforcement received an anonymous in-person complaint at the county kiosk about a large marijuans grow on the property that exceeded standards (Ex. C). Using the assessor records, Mr. Fuchs determined the property (Ex. B). Based on his review of the property is characteristics, Mr. Fuchs determined that no manijuans could be grown on the property as it did not have a legal residence and so scheduled a site inspection for June 19, 2018.

At the initial inspection, Mr. Fuchs encountered a locked gate and left his card at the property taped to the gate. Mr. Fuchs was then contacted by the property owner who scheduled

a compliance inspection for June 29, 2018. At the compliance inspection Mr. Fuchs determined there was no manijuana on the property and the owners were thus in compliance.

Mr. Fuchs further testified that subsequent to determining that the property was in compliance, a helicopter inspection of a nearby property on July 31, 2018 revealed that marijuans had been moved back onto the property and it was again out of compliance. Mr. Williams was called to testify as to the July 31<sup>st</sup> aerial inspection and began with describing his qualifications in identifying marijuana cultivation from the air. Mr. Williams testified as to his 20 years of experience as a police officer which included a one-week training on identifying the cultivation of marijuana from the air. When cross examined by Mr. Berns, Mr. Williams cultivation of marijuana. Since Mr. Williams has been with Code Enforcement, he has conducted between 250 to 300 inspections. Mr. Williams with Code Enforcement, he has conducted between 250 to 300 inspections. Mr. Williams qualification and experience in the identification of marijuana cultivation from the air was qualification and experience in the identification of marijuana cultivation from the sir was

The county submitted photographs of the July 31 inspection and Mr. Williams testified using the photos to demonstrate what he observed. The photographs depict two grow areas and were taken at 1000 feet elevation. Mr. Williams testified that he could identify the plants as marijuans based on their leaf shape, color, as well as the cultivation methods including smart pots and wire supports. He estimated that the two grow areas constituted about 7200 equare inspection revealed that marijuans cultivation had continued on the property, Mr. Fuchs reopened his investigation and based on the photographs, issued a 72-hour notice on August response to the 72-hour notice from Mike Mguyen who indicated that the marijuans would be response to the 72-hour notice from Mike Mguyen who indicated that the marijuans would be response to the 72-hour notice from Mike Mguyen who indicated that the marijuans would be response to the 72-hour notice from Mike Mguyen who indicated that the marijuans would be removed. After several unsuccessful attempts to follow up with Mr. Mguyen on scheduling a compliance inspection, Mr. Fuchs elected to proceed with serving a notice of hearing on compliance inspection, Mr. Fuchs elected to proceed with serving a notice of hearing on scheduling a patential attempts.

Mr. Fuchs testified that he did not receive a response to the Notice of hearing and therefore he scheduled the property for another serial inspection on October 19, 2018. Mr. Williams was recalled to testify as to the October 19th inspection. The photographs depicted the marijuans grow being temoved with the plants being packed into boxes. Mr. Williams testified that the remaining plants that were not yet removed were marijuans, so as of October  $19^{th}$  the property remained out of compliance.

Mr. Fuchs was then recalled to testify as to contact he received from Mr. Berns on October  $19^{\rm th}$  to schedule a site inspection for October  $26^{\rm th}$ . The county offered photographs of the October 26 site inspection (Ex. I) and Mr. Fuchs testified as to what he saw. The photographs depicted most of the plants had been substantially removed in the sense that the buds had been harvested and for some plants only a stalk remained. However, the photos did depict at least one complete plant that appeared to be too small to be worth the trouble to harvest. Thus, as of October 26, 2018 the property remained out of compliance. Mr. Fuchs testified that he emailed Mr. Berns notifying him that the property was still not in compliance.

///

### 3. Administrative Costs & Penalties,

Mr. Wilson submitted a summary of Administrative and Abatement Costs regarding the nuisance on the property. (Ex. J) Administrative costs are requested as per BCC 34A-13(e) and 34C-12(e), although no amount is detailed in this regard.

The County also sought a total of past and future administrative penalties pursuant to Chapter 34A-16(b) and 34C-16(b). These totaled \$11,000 from the date of posting of the posting of Notice of Nuisance Abatement Hearing and \$55,000 from the date of posting of the Notice of Nuisance Abatement Hearing until the hearing itself.

### 4. Cross-Examination of County Witnesses.

Mr. Berns cross examined Mr. Williams concerning the training he received and other specifics related to the investigation such as determining the correct property from the air, altitude of the inspection and landmarks used. Mr. Berns also asked Mr. Williams responses to Mr. Berns questions related to the different strains of cannabis. Mr. Williams responses to Mr. Berns questions only served to bolster confidence in Mr. Williams ability to identify marijuana cultivation.

Mr. Berns also saked Mr. Fuchs questions concerning his role in the investigation. The questions asked of Mr. Fuchs clarified details of the investigation and did not impeach any of Mr. Fuchs' testimony.

### 5. Respondent's Evidence.

Mr. Berns offered three exhibits into evidence: (1) a declaration signed by Respondent Anhituan Le, (2) photographs taken on October 19<sup>th</sup> of the cultivation area of the property, and (3) photographs taken of the cultivation area on October 29, 2018 showing further harvesting of the plants. Mr. Berns also offered sworn testimony that he did receive these photographs from she plants. Mr. Berns also offered sworn testimony that he did receive these photographs are offered to show compliance but is problematic in that it (a) does not depict the entire cultivation area, and than Mr. Berns verifying the source. As such, this evidence is not sufficient to establish compliance even assuming the photos did depict a cultivation area with the plants completely removed, which they did not.

### 6. Condition of the Property.

Taken together, testimony from Mr. Fuchs and Mr. Williams is sufficient to establish the fact that marijuana is being cultivated on the property. Mr. Fuchs' and Mr. Williams' qualifications in the identification of marijuana cultivation were quite sufficient and their general knowledge was on display throughout the questioning. Additionally, to corroborate that testimony, the county offered photographs that clearly depict marijuana, particularly those taken during the October 26<sup>th</sup> compliance inspection which was very close in time to the November 1 during the October 26<sup>th</sup> compliance inspection which was very close in time to the November 1 during the October 34A of the Butte County Code governs cultivation of marijuana in Butte County. Chapter 34A and 34C of the Butte County Code governs cultivation of marijuana

(medical and recreational respectively) in Butte County. Section 34A-3(n) and 34C-3(m) defines the "premises" upon which marijuana may be cultivated, as follows:

"Premises" means a single, legal parcel of property that includes an occupied residence that is a dwelling in compliance with Chapter 26 of the Butte County Code and has also met the requirements of Section 34A-6 and 34A-7."

In the hearing officer's opinion, the cultivation of any marijuans on the subject property violates the provisions of the above-referenced code section. No evidence was presented at the hearing that there is any legal or permitted residence on the property in question. Rather, the opposite is true; e.g., that Mr. Fuch's search of the Butte County Building File database failed to reveal that any permits existed for the property and the serial inspection identified no such residence.

### 7. Respondent's Argument

Respondent's attorney offered no evidence to rebut the evidence put on by the county demonstrating that there was in fact marijuans being cultivated on the property in excess of the allowable area and number of plants under the code. Rather Respondent's evidence focused on the date of compliance. As previously stated, that evidence was insufficient. In addition to the Respondent's evidence, Respondent offered a written brief outlining five additional arguments which will be briefly addressed.

- a. There can be only one violation: This is not an issue in this case; the county does not duplicate violations between the medical manifuana ordinance and the recreational manifuana ordinance.
- Aotice was not proper as the notice only contained one page: This very well could have been an issue had the Respondent submitted sufficient evidence to rebut the testimony submitted by the county. Instead, Respondent only of one page. This is not enough to impeach the proof of service attached to the notice as well as the sworn testimony of Mr. Fuchs stating that he served the full notice.
- Eive-day grace period per CCP §1013(a): This argument relies on the California Schinistrative action and so notice provisions are covered by the underlying ordinance and not the Code of Civil Procedure. As such, this argument is without
- Property owner cannot be held liable for the acts of a tenant: Respondent rightly concedes that the 72-hour notice was sufficient to require him to use reasonable care to abate the nuisance. Respondent's argument seems to be that upon receiving the photos from the tenant showing some plants had been removed from the property, he has no further responsibility as a landlord. This position does not hold water as the photographs presented are of too poor of quality and of too small of an area to be considered a reasonable attempt to clear the nuisance from the property. This is especially true considering that in some of the photos there appears to be a few plants still present. If anything, these photos should raise concern that the few plants still present. If anything, these photos should raise concern that the

ment.

property was not completely cleared.

e. <u>Invalid Search per Cal. Penal Code §1538.5</u>: Respondent does not provide any actual legal argument for this position, only a legal conclusion that there was an unlawful search. Without legal argument based on facts that were presented at the hearing this argument it fails.

### IV. Decision

Based on the foregoing facts, it is my opinion that Butte County has met its burden of proof in demonstrating that the cultivation of marijuana on the subject property violates the Chapter 34A-3(n) and 34C-3(m) of the Butte County Code and hence constitutes a public nuisance. This conclusion is based on the following facts:

a. There is no legal residence situated on the property, which is a precondition to the cultivation of medical marijuana pursuant to Chapter 34A-3(n) and 34C-3(m);

The evidence submitted at the hearing by Respondent was not sufficient to establish that the nuisance has been completely abated as the photographs did not depict the entire grow area and no testimony was offered by the Respondent. Since a public nuisance continues to exist on the subject property, steps need to be taken to address the conditions creating the nuisance shall be nuisance by the property owner. Abatement of the conditions creating the nuisance shall be accomplished in a reasonable time, not to exceed ten (10) days from the date of this decision. Chapter 34A-13(g)(1) and 34C-13(g)(1).

Should the property owners be unwilling or unable to take steps to abate the conditions creating the nuisance on the subject property, then Butte County may take such steps as it deems necessary to abate the nuisance. As the prevailing party in this administrative action, the County may also recover its costs reasonably incurred in bringing this matter to administrative hearing, including administrative costs, costs of abatement, as well as administrative penalties as set forth in Exhibit "J".

In addition, as no evidence was presented at the hearing to the effect that steps had been undertaken by the property owner to abate the nuisance, the administrative penalty shall continue to accrue at the rate of \$1,000/day post-hearing until the nuisance is abated, pursuant to Chapter 34A-16(b) and 34C-16(b).

DATED: November 14, 2018

Hestiyâ Otlicer NYCKBON GFICK

### PROOF OF SERVICE

years and not a party to the within above-entitled action; my business address is: P.O. Box 908, I am employed in the County of Butte, State of California. I am over the age of eighteen

Gridley, CA 95948.

On NOVEMBER 14, 2018, I served the following documents:

### Nuisance Abatement Hearing Statement of Decision

in the following manner:

X (BY IMAIL) By placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope, postage 8

prepaid, with the U. S. Postal Service at Gridley, California 95948, addressed as follows:

JOHN LE 3370 0430 Return Receipt No. 7015 0640 0007 3370 0461	ANHTUAN LE 0 CRYSTAL RANCH ROAD FEATHER FALLS, CA 95940 Return Receipt No. 7015 0640 0007
JOHN LE 1870 0454 N. CAPITAL AVE., #109 SAN JOSE, CA 95132 A270 0454 Meturn Receipt No. 7015 0640 0007 3370 0478	ANHTUAN LE Seturn Receipt No. 7015 0640 0007

I, SANDEE ONYETT, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of

California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on MOVEMBER 14, 2018, at Gridley, Calfornia.

77

17

70

6I

81

LI

91

ŞΙ

ÞΙ

51

15

ΙĮ

01

9

٤

7

52

74

97 57

87 17

HARRIS, HAMMAN & CLICK

noinvoque) lanoissolorq A

1695-948(085) Quidley, CA 95948 P. O. Box 908

December 17, 2018

# apioval 42818

Oroville,, CA 95965 25 County Center Drive, Suite 200 Butte County Administration

Balance due

00'945'1\$		ice que	Tala1
00.972,18	09°6	rendered services rendered	q 104
		conufy.	
00.71	02.0	signature. Attention to proof of service of attorney Berns, mailed to	MS 8107/91/11
34.00	04.0	JG signature. Preparation of POS and serving document. Attention to file; prepared proof of service for Berns for SO	MS 8107/\$1/11
00.28	1.00	Jackson Glick regarding legal issues presented therein. Attention to Statement of Decision changes and printing for	OS 8107/7111
216.00	1.20	Review and revise statement of decision; confer with	11/13/5018 CH
324.00	08.I	Finish admin law decision.	11/12/2018 RJG
396.00	2.20	Prepare Statement of Decision.	11/9/2018 RJC
00,402	2.80	Travel to and appear at hearing.	11/1/2018 RJG
innomA	<u>smol</u> 4		
		7° CE18-0649	in Reference To:

Please make checks payable to Harris, Hamman & Glick

PAYMENTS MADE AFTER THE ABOVE DATE WILL APPEAR ON NEXT MOUTH'S STATEMENT WE ACCEPT VISA, MASTERCARD, DISCOVER, AMERICAN EXPRESS AND UNION PAY

\*

5701 Lonetree Blvd., Ste. 123
Rocklin, CA 95765
916.226.1477
530.455.5567 (Fax)
Email: sam@bernslegal.com
http:www.bernslegal.com

### Law Office of SAMUEL D. BERNS, Inc.

October 3, 2018

Butte County Code Enforcement Att: Debbie Laugenour
7 County Center Drive Oroville, CA 95965

Dear Ms. Laugenour:

I am writing to inform you that I have been retained by the property owners of Butte County APM 071-270-029, related to a cannabis abatement action on the property. Please keep me informed of all progress on the case, hearing dates, and the like. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Samuel D. Berns

Samuel D. Berns Attorney at Law

**PORM NO** 

DCE-15

Department of Development Services

TIM SNELLINGS, DIRECTOR

buttecounty.net/dds

PETE CALARCO, ASSISTANT DIRECTOR

Z877.852.052 :T T: 530,552,3702 Oroville, California 95965 7 County Center Drive



CYSE #: CE18-0649

Owner Name: LE ANHTUAN ETAL

### NOTICE OF NUISANCE ABATEMENT HEARING

enforce an order of abatement, that you have waived all rights to assert such defenses or such points. or assert, in later judicial proceedings to money judgment obtained in a court of law. If you fail to appear at the hearing or if you fail to raise any defense If a lien is recorded, it will have the same force and effect as an abstract of judgment which is recorded as a lien against the subject property and may also be assessed against the property in the same manner as taxes. cost of securing expert and other witnesses, and the accrual of any Administrative Penalties, may become a of judicially abating the violation, the cost of labor and material necessary to physically abate the violation, the hearing, attorneys' fees, the cost associated with any appeals from the decision of the Hearing Officer, the cost to, the cost of the Hearing Officer, the cost of prior time and expenses associated with bringing the matter to posted on the property, the Administrative Costs incurred in prosecuting the violation, including, but not limited hearing, if a violation is found to have existed at the time the Notice of Nuisance Abatement Hearing was authorized, BCC 34A-3(n) BCC 34A-4(a); BCC 34C-3(m) Parcel does not have a legal residence. After Area is greater than authorized, BCC 34C-4 Number of plants being cultivated is greater than property which constitute a public nuisance and violate Butte County Code section(s) BCC 34A-4 Cultivation Chapter 34C. The Department of Development Services has determined that conditions exist on the above public nuisance and abated pursuant to the Butte County Code Chapter 34A and/or Butte County Code of 9 o'clock am., to show cause, if any there be, why the use of said real property should not be found to be a of the County of Butte at One Court Street Oroville, CA 95965 on Thursday, November 1, 2018, at the hour 071-270-029, and having a street address of , , CA is (are) hereby notified to appear before a Hearing Officer The owner(s) and occupant(s) of real property described on the latest equalized Butte Count tax roll as A.P.N.

Controller's Office and added to the your tax bill as a special assessment. Such special assessments have the Abatement Costs, and Administrative Penalties may be specially assessed against your parcel by the Auditormay be responsible for the actual costs of the abatement. In either circumstance, all Administrative Costs, County abates the nuisance, in addition to being able to recover its Administrative Costs and Penalties, you exist on your property, and you fail to abate the nuisance promptly, the County may abate the nuisance. If the the time that the nuisance was abated. Further, if the Hearing Officer finds that a public nuisance continues to the County is entitled to recover its Administrative Costs, and all Administrative Penalties that accrued up to existed on the property may result in a decision by the Hearing Officer that a public nuisance did exist, and that is made by the Code Enforcement Officer, your failure to sustain the burden of showing that no public nuisance If an initial showing sufficient to persuade the Hearing Officer that a public nuisance existed on your property Cultivation and nuisance abatement hearings is enclosed to assist you in the preparation of your presentation. copy of the Butte County Code Chapter 34A and 34C relating to Medical and Non-Medical Marijuana proving why, in your opinion, your use of the property is not a public nuisance as defined in this Chapter. A existed on your property. Therefore, you should be prepared to introduce oral and documentary evidence Nuisance Abatement Hearing was posted, you will then have the burden of proving that no public nuisance to persuade the Hearing Officer that a public nuisance existed on your property at the time the Notice of In preparing for such hearing, you should be aware that it an initial showing is made by the County, sufficient

same priority, for collection purposes, as other county taxes and, if not paid, may result in a forced sale of your property. You are also hereby notified that the County will seek recovery of attorneys' fees incurred in any hearing and that attorneys' fees may be recovered by the prevailing party. Finally, if the Hearing Officer finds that a public nuisance exists on your property, a violation of the Butte County Code Chapter 34A, the County will contend that you are bound by such finding at any subsequent judicial action to enforce the Hearing Officer and party.

IMPORTANT: READ THIS NOTICE CAREFULLY. IN ADDITION TO ANY ADMINISTRATIVE CIVIL PENALTY OF \$1,000 PER PENALTIES THAT HAVE ALREADY ACCRUED, AN ADMINISTRATIVE CIVIL PENALTY OF \$1,000 PER WILL CONTINUE TO ACCRUE AT THAT RATE UNTIL THE NUISANCE IS ABATED. IN ORDER TO PREVENT THE ACCRUAL OF ONGOING PENALTIES AND COSTS, YOU MUST CONTACT THE CODE ENFORCEMENT OFFICER TO INSPECT PRICEDENT OFFICER TO INSPECT PRICEDENT OFFICE, AND ARRANGE A TIME FOR A CODE ENFORCEMENT OFFICER TO INSPECT PRICEDENT OFFICE, AND ARRANGE A TIME FOR A CODE ENFORCEMENT OFFICER TO INSPECT ON PRICEDENT OF THE TIME SET FORTH IN THIS NOTICE WILL LIKELY RESULT IN THE TIME SET FORTH IN THIS NOTICE WILL LIKELY RESULT IN ACUR PROPERTY, AND CONFIRM THAT THE VIOLATION OF USES OF OR CONDITIONS ON YOUR PROPERTY. AND COUNTY CODE.

Please contact MATT FUCHS, Nuisance Abatement Code Enforcement Officer, at 530,552.3702 or 530,693.7631 if you have any questions regarding your nuisance abatement case or this hearing notice.

Dated: September 7, 2018 BUTTE COUNTY DIRECTOR OF DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

By:

Enclosure: Butte County Code Chapter 34A and 34C

### PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL

I am a citizen of the United States and employed in the County of Butte. I am, and was at the time of the service hereinafter mentioned, over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action. My business address is Department of Development Services, Building Division. 7 County Center Drive, Oroville, California 95965. I am readily familiar with the County's practice for collection and processing of correspondence/documents for mailing with the United States Postal Service and that said correspondence/documents are deposited with the United States Postal Service in the ordinary course of

On September 7, 2018 I served the foregoing HEARING NOTICE on the person(s) named below by placing a true copy thereof in a sealed envelope, with first class postage thereon fully paid, addressed as indicated below, and by placing said envelope in the appropriate place within the Department of Development Services where mail is collected for mailing with the United States Postal Services on the same day.

LE JOHN ETAL

LE ANHTUAN ETAL

**ЈАТЭ ИА**ОТНИА ЗЈ

business on the same day.

1874 N CAPITOL AVE #109

1874 N CAPITOL AVE #109

0 СВХЕТАГ ВАИСН

SAN JOSE, CA 96132 SAN JOSE, CA 96132

FEATHER FALLS, CA 95940

LE JOHN ETAL

O CRYSTAL RANCH RD

FEATHER FALLE, CA 95940

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on September 7, 2018 Oroville, California,

Case #: CE18-0649

County of Butte
CODE ENFORCEMENT DIVISION
Tourille, CA. 95965

BELINBY SERVICE REQUESTED

LE ANHTUAN ETAL 0 CRYSTAL RANCH RD FEATHER FALLS CA 95940 m 5100-3197

JU 34-00-8137

LE ANHTUAN ETAL 1874 N CAPITOL AVE #109 SAN JOSE, CA 95132

BELINBN SEBNICE BEGNESLED

County of Butte
7 County Center Drive
7 County Center Drive
Oroville, CA, 95965

N 5490-8130

LE JOHN ETAL O CRYSTAL RANCH RD FEATHER FALLS CA, 95940

BELINBN SEBNICE BEGINESLED

County of Butte

CODE ENFORCEMENT DIVISION

Oroville, CA. 95965

County of Butte
CODE ENFORCEMENT DIVISION
Oroville, CA, 95965

BELINBN ZEBNICE BEGNEZIED

EEVLHEB EVITS CV 95940

O CBASIVI BANCH RD

TE 10HIN ELVI

ON 3490-8130

DOUG TEETER Fourth District STEVE LAMBERT Third District **TAMI RITTER** Second District DEBRA LUCERO First District **BIFF CONNEFFA** 

Fifth District

### Board of Supervisors

Minute Order No.: 040919 - 5.03.h.



April 9, 2019 Meeting Date:

(costs) and proposed lien of \$66,000 (penalties). Feather Falls (Assessor's Parcel Number 07.1-270-029) as a special assessment of \$6,078.77 Enforcement Case No. CE18-0649 for the property located at 0 Crystal Ranch Road in record a special assessment and lien to recover the County's costs and penalties for Code property listed. This hearing has been scheduled to authorize the Board of Supervisors to 029 - On November 1, 2018, a Hearing Officer declared a public nuisance existed on the Code Enforcement Case No. CE18-0649; O Crystal Ranch Road, Feather Falls; APN 071-270Board Action:

Item Subject:

Vote:

Approved the proposed lien of \$66,000 and a special assessment of \$6,078.27.

Ayes: Supervisors Connelly, Teeter, and Chair Lambert

Absent: None Noes: Supervisors Lucero and Ritter

Not Voting: None

I hereby certify that the above action was taken by the Butte County Board of Supervisors on

Certification:

.avode batel listed above.

Mesistant Clerk of the Board

When recorded, return to: Recording Requested By

Olf ofing 25 County Center Drive Butte County Counsel

Oroville, CA, 95965

0200-755 (065)

CONMIX BUTTE

APR I 6 2019

SEKAICES DEVELOPMENT

Oroville, CA 95965 7 County Center Drive Department of Development Services

### VBYLEMENT OF PUBLIC MUISANCE NOTICE OF LIEN FOR

### **FIEN NO:**

1874 N. Capitol Avenue #109 John Le Anhtuan Le

San Jose, CA 95132

O Crystal Ranch Road John Le

Anhtuan Le

OT

Peather Falls, CA 95966

Road, Feather Falls, CA 95966, APN 071-270-029. incurred from the abatement of the public nuisance on said property located at 0 Crystal Ranch on the following property and hereby claims a lien for the abatement and administrative costs Chapters 34A and/or 34C, has incurred costs associated with the abatement of a public nuisance NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the County of Butte, pursuant to Butte County Code

34A-13(i) and/or 34C-13(i): The following information is provided in accordance with Butte County Code Section

Record owner or possessor: Anhtuan Le and John Le. 1


Jose, CA 95132. ٦. Last known address of record owner or possessor: 1874 N. Capitol Avenue #109. San


located at 0 Crystal Ranch Road, Feather Falls, CA 95966. Description of real property subject to lien: Assessor Parcel Number: 071-270-029 3.


Date upon which the decision of the Hearing Officer was issued: 11/14/18. 'b

APN: 071-270-029

DATE: 8/16/18








APN: 071-270-029


CASE #: CE18-0649 A

ADDRESS: 0 CRYSTAL RANCH ROAD DEMAND LETTER POSTING



CEO: MF






CASE #: CE18-0649 ADDRESS: 0 CRYSTAL RANCH RD FLY OVER PHOTOS BY WILLIAMS AND TORRES

APN: 071-270-029



DATE: 10/19/18




CASE #: CE18-0649 ADDRESS: 0 CRYSTAL RANCH RD FLY OVER PHOTOS BY WILLIAMS AND TORRES

DATE: 10/19/18

CEO: MF

APN: 071-270-029



CASE #: CE18-0649 ADDRESS: 0 CRYSTAL RANCH RD FLY OVER PHOTOS BY WILLIAMS AND TORRES

DATE: 10/19/18

CEO: MF


APN: 071-270-029




CASE #: CE18-0649

ADDRESS: 0 CRYSTAL RANCH RD


DATE: 7/31/18






CASE #: CE18-0649

ADDRESS: 0 CRYSTAL RANCH RD








CASE #: CE18-0649

APN: 071-270-029

:10-0<del>0</del>43 A

ADDRESS: 0 CRYSTAL RANCH RD MARIJUANA ABATED

DATE: 11/1/18




APN: 071-270-029 C

CASE #: CE18-0649

ADDRESS: 0 CRYSTAL RANCH RD MARIJUANA ABATED

DATE: 11/1/18



CASE #: CE18-0649 ADDRESS: 0
MARIJU

APN: 071-270-029


ADDRESS: 0 CRYSTAL RANCH RD
MARIJUANA ABATED

DATE: 11/1/18



CASE #: CE18-0649 ADDRESS: 0 CRYSTAL RANCH RD PHOTOS OF MARIJUANA STILL GROWING IN THE GROUND


DATE: 10/26/18



CASE #: CE18-0649 SE #: CE18-0649 ADDRESS: 0 CRYSTAL RANCH RD PHOTOS OF MARIJUANA STILL GROWING IN THE GROUND


DATE: 10/26/18





CASE #: CE18-0649 ADDRESS: 0 CRYSTAL RANCH RD PHOTOS OF MARIJUANA STILL GROWING IN THE GROUND

DATE: 10/26/18



CASE #: CE18-0649


SE #: CE18-0649 ADDRESS: 0 CRYSTAL RANCH RD PHOTOS OF MARIJUANA STILL GROWING IN THE GROUND

DATE: 10/26/18



CASE #: CE18-0649 ADDRESS: 0 CRYSTAL RANCH RD PHOTOS OF MARIJUANA STILL GROWING IN THE GROUND

DATE: 10/26/18




CASE #: CE18-0649 ADDRESS: 0 CRYSTAL RANCH RD PHOTOS OF MARIJUANA STILL GROWING IN THE GROUND

DATE: 10/26/18

CEO: MF

APN: 071-270-029



CASE #: CE18-0649 ADDRESS: 0 CRYSTAL RANCH RD PHOTOS OF MARIJUANA STILL GROWING IN THE GROUND

APN: 071-270-029

DATE: 10/26/18



First District BILL CONNELLY

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Administration Center

26 COUNTY CENTER DRIVE, SUITE 200 - OROVILLE, CALIFORNIA 95965

Telephone: (530) 552-3300

Second District DEBRA LUCERO

Third District **RATTIRI IMAT** 

Fourth District STEVE LAMBERT

Fifth District DOUG TEETER March 18, 2019

Rocklin, CA 95765 5701 Lonetree Blvd. Suite 123 Samuel D. Berns, Esq.

San Jose, CA 95132 1874 N Capitol Ave #109 John Le

2sn lose, CA 95132

Anhtuan Le

1874 N Capitol Ave #109

San Jose, CA 95132 1874 N Capitol Ave #109 Let Anhtuan Etal

Oroville, CA 95966 O Crystal Ranch Rd Anthuan Le

0 Crystal Ranch Rd John Le

Oroville, CA 95966

O Crystal Ranch Rd

Le Anbtuan Etal

Oroville, CA 95966

NOTICE OF PROPOSED LIEN AND SPECIAL ASSESSMENT HEARING

O Crystal Ranch Road, Oroville, CA 95966 To the Property Owners of: APM 071-270-029

Case: CE18-0649

CE18-0049, and proposes to record a lien and impose a special assessment to recover said costs. following parcel: APN 071-270-029; 0 Crystal Ranch Road, Oroville, CA 95966; Case: Code Chapter 34A, has incurred costs associated with the abatement of a public nuisance on the You are hereby notified that the Department of Development Services, pursuant to Butte County

nuisance on the above property. Department of Development Services' abatement and administrative costs to abate the public The amount of the proposed lien and special assessment totals \$72,078.27, which constitutes the

Board of Supervisors Chambers, 25 County Center Drive, Suite 205, Oroville, CA. protests to the proposed lien and special assessment on April 9, 2019, at 10:30 a.m., in the You are also hereby notified that the Board of Supervisors will hear and consider objections and

and will be placed on the County tax roll as a special assessment. authorized, the lien will be recorded against the property in the Office of the County Recorder If the Board of Supervisors determines that the proposed lien and special assessment are

Clerk of the Board Shari McCracken

Pete Calarco, Assistant Director Tim Snellings, Director

## Department of Development Services



sbb/ten.yinuosettud

F: 530,538,7785 T: 530,552,3702

Oroville, California 95965 7 County Center Drive



This 72-Hour Notice to Abate
This 72-Hour Notice to Abate

My signature above acknowledges delivery of

530.538.6000 Main Office

DCE-04 говм ио.

## RESTRICTIONS ON CANNABIS CULTIVATION

Owner\Tenant\Cultivator	Butte County Code Enforcement Officer			
is your obligation to contact the Code Enforcement Office, sect your property, and demonatrate that all violations have tement Hearing is scheduled, you will be required to pay all non your property.	arrange a time for a Code Enforcement Officer to re-insp			
5500 per day will accrue each day that the nuisance exists for is not corrected within 72 hours, the Penalty will increase to atter will be set for a Muisance Abatement Hearing. In order	the first 72 hours that you are in violation. If the violation from me the m			
	Indoor grow building violations (no permit, residential grow, no ventilation, etc.) – BCC 34A-3(h)			
	Tencing (no fencing, unapproved materials, plants taller than fence, unsecure access, etc.) BCC 34A-10; BCC 34C-10			
	D Marijuana plants in public view – BCC 34A-8(b)(4);			
Acher/Suggested Corrections: Comban 6891.	Setback violation from a youth-oriented facility, school, park, church, residential treatment facility, school bus stop, or an occupied tesidential structure. BCC 34A-8(b)(1)(2)(3); BCC 34C-8(b) (1)(2)(3)			
BCC 34A-9; BCC 34C-9	ECC 34C-8			
L. Illegal use, disposal and/or storage of chemicals (Refer to EH) – BCC 34-7(c); 34C-7(c)	Agarcel does not have a legal residence – BCC 34A-3(n), BCC 34C-3(m)			
D No municipal sewer or permitted sewage disposal system (Refer	Indoor grow required for parcel size – BCC 34A-4(b)(1); $\frac{1}{2}$			
D No permitted permanent water well or connection to municipal water source (Refer to EH) – BCC 34A-7(a); BCC 34C-7(a)	BCC 34C-4			
you are maintaining a public nuisance on the above-referenced property by:    October 1   October 1   October 2   October 3   October 3				
You are hereby on notice, pursuant to Butte County Code Chapter 34A and/or Chapter 34C,				
	Tenant: UNA			
E ANTHUM ETAL	Parcel #: 071-270-029			
City: Contill	Violation Address:			
64-90-8ED :# 0880	Date Issued & Posted:			
72-HOUR NOTICE TO ABATE ORDINANCE VIOLATION				

this 72-Hour Notice to Abate

My signature above acknowledges receipt of



Butte County Department of Development Services Tounty Center Drive Tourly Center Drive Oroville, CA 95965

RETURN SERVICE REQUESTED

LE ANHTUAN ETAL 1874 N CAPITOL AVE #109 SAN JOSE, CA 95132

 JUB 0400-8150




EEVLHEK EVITS' CV 92940 0 CKASLVI KVNCH KD IE JOHN ELVI

Butte County Department of Development Services T County Center Drive T County Center Drive Oroville, CA 95965

RETURN SERVICE REQUESTED

ou Stad-8120

CANDACE L GRUBBS County of Butte Clerk-Recorder's Department



مربة مخالفة ورستم المنسيء المرادة	rior - in a serie in a le l'indica destruit de la partier de la la la partie de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la companya del la companya de la c	KYYNEEN BESITAA SENSENSINSIN 5 ONUSSANAANSIN SAYS ERISSA RYSKA II
do.	$\sim$	Change
00.76	97	Total Tendered
32.00	ana kamana sa tana ang kamana ga kapana Maranasa ng masa kanana kanana kanana kanana kanana kanana kanana kana Maranasa sa tanana kanana ng kanana ng kanana na kanana na kanana kanana kanana kanana kanana kanana kanana ka	JOURNAL
35.00	Pto WI	Total Charges
00.0	opalous en monora actual de la composição que de la composição que la constituida en en monora en en en en enc La la composição de la composição que	282 TAX EXEMI
14.00	FIEM NOTICE	
00.0	CONFORMED COPY	
18.00	•	REC FEE
	M4 00:35:21 6102/81/b	\$2,00000-6102

Thank You

W4/18/5018 15:32:34 bW

ECB-BEC-11 2019041800173

Requested By B.C. Development Services

Clerk-Recorder's Department
County of Butte
CANDACE J. GRUBBS
CANDACE J. GRUBBS

29.00
REC FEE
CONFORMED COPY
39.00
39.00
Total Charges
39.00
39.00
39.00
39.00
39.00
39.00
39.00
39.00
39.00
39.00
39.00
39.00
39.00
39.00
39.00

Тралк Ури

ECR-REC-11

ΚΓ

Requested By B.C. Development Services